## Remarks on semi-algebraic functions

Seiichiro Wakabayashi

## April 5, 2008

the second version on August 30, 2010

In this note we shall give some facts and remarks concerning "semi-algebraic functions" which we need in another paper. We think that the results given here are all well-known, but we could not find any literature in which the main results here (Theorems 5, 10 and 11 below) are given and proved.

**Definition 1.** Let *S* be a subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . We say that *S* is semi-algebraic ( or a semi-algebraic set ( in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ )) if there is a finite family  $\{A_{j,k}\}_{1 \le j \le m, 1 \le k \le r_j}$  of subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that each  $A_{j,k}$  is defined by a real polynomial equation or inequality and

$$S = \bigcup_{j=1}^{m} \left( \bigcap_{k=1}^{r_j} A_{j,k} \right).$$

Noting that

$$\bigcup_{j=1}^{m} \left( \bigcap_{k=1}^{r_j} A_{j,k} \right) = \bigcap_{k_1=1}^{r_1} \cdots \bigcap_{k_m=1}^{r_m} \left( \bigcup_{j=1}^{m} A_{j,k_j} \right),$$

we have the following

**Lemma 2.** Let  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  be semi-algebraic sets in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then  $S_1^c (= \mathbb{R}^n \setminus S_1)$ ,  $S_1 \cup S_2$  and  $S_1 \cap S_2$  are semi-algebraic. Moreover, if T is a semi-algebraic set in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ , then  $S_1 \times T$  is semi-algebraic.

The following theorem is called the Tarski-Seidenberg theorem (see, *e.g.*,  $\S$ A.2 of [H]).

**Theorem 3** (Tarski-Seidenberg). Let S be a semi-algebraic set in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+m}$ . Then

$$S := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n; (x, y) \in S \text{ for some } y \in \mathbb{R}^m\}$$

is semi-algebraic.

~ .

**Corollary.** Let S and T be semi-algebraic sets in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+m}$  and  $\mathbb{R}^m$ , respectively. Then the set

$$\widehat{S} \equiv \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n; (x, y) \in S \text{ for any } y \in T\}$$

is semi-algebraic.

**Proof.** Since

$$\widehat{S}^{c}(=\mathbb{R}^{n}\setminus\widehat{S}) = \{x\in\mathbb{R}^{n}; \text{ there is } y\in T \text{ satisfying } (x,y)\in S^{c}\}\$$
$$=\{x\in\mathbb{R}^{n}; \text{ there is } y\in\mathbb{R}^{m} \text{ satisfying } (x,y)\in S^{c}\cap(\mathbb{R}^{n}\times T)\},\$$

Theorem 3 and Lemma 2 prove the corollary.

**Lemma 4.** If S is a semi-algebraic set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , then the closure  $\overline{S}$  of S and the interior  $\overset{\circ}{S}$  of S are semi-algebraic.

*Remark.* If  $S = \{x \in \mathbb{R}; x^2(x-1) > 0\}$ , then  $S = (1, \infty)$  and  $\overline{S} \neq \{x \in ; x^2(x-1) \ge 0\} = \overline{S} \cup \{0\}$ .

Proof. Put

$$D := \{ (x, \varepsilon, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n+1}; \ \varepsilon > 0, \ y \in S, x \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ and } |x-y|^2 < \varepsilon \},\$$
$$E := \{ (x, \varepsilon) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty); \text{ there is } y \in S \text{ satisfying } |x-y|^2 < \varepsilon \}.$$

Then D is semi-algebraic and

$$E = \{(x, \varepsilon) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}; \text{ there is } y \in S \text{ satisfying } (x, \varepsilon, y) \in D\}.$$

From Theorem 3 *E* is semi-algebraic. Since  $\overline{S} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n; (x, \varepsilon) \in E \text{ for any } \varepsilon > 0\}$ , and  $\overset{\circ}{S} = \overline{(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus S)}^c$ ,  $\overline{S}$  and  $\overset{\circ}{S}$  are semi-algebraic.

**Theorem 5.** Let P(X) be a polynomial of  $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ , and put  $A \equiv \{X \in \mathbb{R}^n; P(X) \neq 0\}$ . Then the number of the connected components of A is finite and each component is semi-algebraic.

**Proof.** We may assume that the coefficients of P(X) are real, replacing P(X) by  $P_{\text{Re}}(X)^2 + P_{\text{Im}}(X)^2$  if necessary, where  $P(X) = P_{\text{Re}}(X) + iP_{\text{Im}}(X)$  and  $P_{\text{Re}}(X)$  and  $P_{\text{Im}}(X)$  are real polynomials. Let us prove the theorem by induction on *n*. If n = 1, then the theorem is trivial. Let  $L \in \mathbb{N}(=\{1, 2, \dots\})$ , and suppose that the theorem is valid when  $n \leq L$ . Let n = L + 1. We can write

$$P(X) = P_1(X)^{m_1} \cdots P_s(X)^{m_s},$$

where the  $P_i(X)$  are irreducible polynomials and mutually prime. Put

$$Q(X) = P_1(X) \cdots P_s(X),$$

and denote by  $Q^0(X)$  the principal part ( the terms of highest degree) of P(X). We may assume that  $Q^0(0, \dots, 0, 1) \neq 0$ , using linear transformation if necessary. Let D(X') be the discriminant of the equation  $Q(X', X_n) = 0$  in  $X_n$ , where  $X' = (X_1, \dots, X_{n-1})$ . Then  $D(X') \neq 0$  and, by the assumption of induction, there are  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and semi-algebraic sets  $A_j$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$  ( $1 \leq j \leq N$ ) such that the  $A_j$  are mutually disjoint and coincide with the connected components of the set  $\{X' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}; D(X') \neq 0\}$ . For each  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $1 \leq j \leq N$  we can write

$$Q(X) = Q^{0}(0, \dots, 0, 1) \prod_{k=1}^{l} (X_{n} - \lambda_{k}(X')),$$
  
$$\lambda_{1}(X') < \lambda_{2}(X') < \dots < \lambda_{r(j)}(X'), \quad \operatorname{Im} \lambda_{k}(X') \neq 0 \ (r(j) + 1 \le k \le l)$$

for  $X' \in A_j$ , where  $l = \deg_{X_n} Q(X)$  and  $r(j) \in \mathbb{N}$ , since the equation  $Q(X', X_n) = 0$ in  $X_n$  has only simple roots for  $X' \in A_j$ . Put

$$A_{j,k} := \{ X \in A_j \times \mathbb{R}; \text{ there are } \lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_{r(j)} \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } \lambda_{r(j)+1}, \cdots, \lambda_l \in \mathbb{C} \\ \text{ such that } \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \cdots < \lambda_{r(j)}, \text{ Im } \lambda_\mu \neq 0 \ (\mu = r(j) + 1, \cdots, l) \} \\ Q(X',t) = Q^0(0, \cdots, 0, 1) \prod_{\mu=1}^l (t - \lambda_\mu) \text{ as a polynomial of } t \\ \text{ and } \lambda_{k-1} < X_n < \lambda_k \text{ if } 2 \le k \le l, X_n < \lambda_1 \text{ if } k = 1, \\ \text{ and } X_n > \lambda_{r(j)} \text{ if } k = r(j) + 1 \} \qquad (k = 1, 2, \cdots, r(j) + 1).$$

Then the  $A_{j,k}$  are semi-algebraic and

$$A \cap (A_j imes \mathbb{R}) = igcup_{k=1}^{r(j)+1} A_{j,k}.$$

By Lemmas 2 and 4  $B_{j,k} \equiv \overline{A_{j,k}} \cap A$  is semi-algebraic. Assume that there are disjoint open subsets  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  of  $B_{j,k}$  satisfying  $B_{j,k} = C_1 \cup C_2$  and  $C_2 \cap A_{j,k} \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $A_{j,k}$  is connected,  $C_1 \subset \partial A_{j,k} \cap A$ , where  $\partial B$  denotes the boundary of B in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  for a subset B of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . So we have  $C_1 = \emptyset$ . This implies that  $B_{j,k}$  are connected. Since  $\overline{(A_j \times \mathbb{R})} \cap A = \bigcup_{k=1}^{r(j)+1} B_{j,k}$ , we have

$$A = \bigcup_{j=1}^{N} \bigcup_{k=1}^{r(j)+1} B_{j,k}.$$

Put

$$\Lambda := \{ (j,k) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}; \ 1 \le j \le N, \ 1 \le k \le r(j) + 1 \}.$$

For  $(j,k), (j',k') \in \Lambda$  we say that  $(j,k) \sim (j',k')$  if there are  $v \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $(j_{\mu},k_{\mu}) \in \Lambda$  $(1 \leq \mu \leq v)$  satisfying  $B_{j_{\mu},k_{\mu}} \cap B_{j_{\mu+1},k_{\mu+1}} \neq \emptyset$   $(0 \leq \mu \leq v)$ , where  $(j_0,k_0) = (j,k)$ and  $(j_{\nu+1},k_{\nu+1}) = (j',k')$ . For  $(j,k) \in \Lambda$  we put

$$A_{(j,k)} := \bigcup_{(j',k') \sim (j,k)} B_{j',k'}.$$

Then  $A_{(j,k)}$  is a connected component of *A* and semi-algebraic. Moreover, we have  $A = \bigcup_{(i,k) \in \Lambda} A_{(j,k)}$ , which proves the theorem.

**Definition 6.** Let f(X) be a real-valued function defined on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . We say that f(X) is semi-algebraic ( or a semi-algebraic function) if the graph of f ( $= \{(X, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}; y = f(X)\}$ ) is a semi-algebraic set.

**Lemma 7.** f(X) is semi-algebraic if and only if  $A \equiv \{(X, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}; y \leq f(X)\}$  is a semi-algebraic set.

**Proof.** Assume that f(X) is semi-algebraic. Then  $B \equiv \{(X, y, \lambda) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+2}; \lambda = f(X) \text{ and } y \leq \lambda\}$  is a semi-algebraic set. Therefore, Theorem 3 implies that *A* is semi-algebraic. Next assume that *A* is semi-algebraic. Then  $C \equiv \{(X, y, \lambda) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}; \lambda \leq f(X) \text{ and } y < \lambda\}$  is semi-algebraic. Therefore, Theorem 3 implies that  $D \equiv \{(X, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}; y < f(X)\}$  is semi-algebraic. Thus  $A \setminus D = \{(X, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}; y = f(X)\}$  is semi-algebraic.

**Definition 8.** (i) Let f(X) be a complex-valued function defined on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . We say that f(X) is semi-algebraic ( or a semi-algebraic function) if  $\operatorname{Re} f(X)$  and  $\operatorname{Im} f(X)$  are semi-algebraic.

(ii) Let  $X^0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , and let f(X) be a complex-valued function defined in a neighborhood of  $X^0$ . We say that f(X) is semi-algebraic at  $X^0$  if there is r > 0 such that the sets  $\{(X, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}; |X - X^0| < r \text{ and } y = \operatorname{Re} f(X)\}$  and  $\{(X, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}; |X - X^0| < r \text{ and } y = \operatorname{Re} f(X)\}$  and  $\{(X, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}; |X - X^0| < r \text{ and } y = \operatorname{Im} f(X)\}$  are semi-algebraic.

(iii) Let U be an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and let f(X) be a complex-valued function defined in U. We say that f(X) is semi-algebraic in U if f(X) is semi-algebraic at every  $X^0 \in U$ .

**Lemma 9.** Let  $X^0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , and let f(X) and g(X) be semi-algebraic (resp. semi-algebraic at  $X^0$ ).

(i)  $\alpha f(X) + \beta g(X)$  and f(X)g(X) are semi-algebraic (resp. semi-algebraic at  $X^0$ ), where  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ .

(ii) If  $g(X) \neq 0$  for  $X \in \mathbb{R}^n$  (resp.  $g(X) \neq 0$  in a neighborhood of  $X^0$ ), then f(X)/g(X) is semi-algebraic (resp. semi-algebraic at  $X^0$ ).

(iii) If  $g(X) \ge 0$  for  $X \in \mathbb{R}^n$  (resp.  $g(X) \ge 0$  in a neighborhood of  $X^0$ ), then  $g(X)^{1/l}$  ( $\ge 0$ ) is semi-algebraic (resp. semi-algebraic at  $X^0$ ), where  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Proof.** Let us prove the first part of the assertion (i) in the case where f(X) and g(X) are semi-algebraic at  $X^0$ . The other assertions can be proved by the same argument. We may assume that f(X) and g(X) are real-valued. By assumption there is r > 0 such that  $A \equiv \{(X, \lambda) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}; |X - X^0| < r \text{ and } \lambda = f(X)\}$  and  $B \equiv \{(X, \mu) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}; |X - X^0| < r \text{ and } \mu = g(X)\}$  are semi-algebraic sets. Since

$$C := \{ (X, \lambda, \mu, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+3}; |X - X^0| < r, \ \lambda = f(X), \ \mu = g(X)$$
  
and  $y = \alpha \lambda + \beta \mu \}$ 

is semi-algebraic, Theorem 3 implies that  $\alpha f(X) + \beta g(X)$  is semi-algebraic at  $X^0$ .

**Theorem 10.** Let  $X^0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , and assume that f(X) is in  $C^{\infty}$  and semi-algebraic (resp. semi-algebraic at  $X^0$ ). Then there is a irreducible polynomial  $P(z,X) (\neq 0)$ of  $(z,X) = (z,X_1,\dots,X_n)$  satisfying  $P(f(X),X) \equiv 0$  (resp. P(f(X),X) = 0 in a neighborhood of  $X^0$ ).

**Proof.** Let us prove the theorem in the case where f(X) is semi-algebraic at  $X^0$ . We may assume that f(X) is real-valued. By assumption there is r > 0 such that  $f(X) \in C^{\infty}(B_r(X^0))$  and the set  $S \equiv \{(X, y) \in B_r(X^0) \times \mathbb{R}; y = f(X)\}$  is semi-algebraic, where  $B_r(X^0) = \{X \in \mathbb{R}^n; |X - X^0| < r\}$ . First consider the case where n = 1. Let F(z, X) be the product of all polynomials  $F_{j,k}(z, X)$ , except polynomials depending only on X, that appear in the definition of the semi-algebraic set S in Definition 1 as  $A_{j,k} = \{(z, X) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}; F_{j,k}(z, X) = 0 \text{ (resp. > 0)}\}$ . Then we have F(f(X), X) = 0 in  $B_r(X^0)$  since S is a graph of f(X). Write

$$F(z,X) = F_1(z,X)^{m_1} \cdots F_s(z,X)^{m_s},$$

where the  $F_i(z,X)$  are irreducible polynomials and mutually prime. We put

$$G(z,X) = F_1(z,X) \cdots F_s(z,X)$$

and denote by D(X) the discriminant of the equation G(z,X) = 0 in z. Then  $D(X) \neq 0$ . Let  $X^1 \in B_r(X^0)$ , and assume that  $D(X^1) \neq 0$ . Since the roots of  $G(z,X^1) = 0$  in z are all simple, f(X) is analytic at  $X^1$ , and there is  $j(X^1) \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $1 \leq j(X^1) \leq s$  such that  $F_{j(X^1)}(f(X),X) = 0$  in a neighborhood of  $X^1$ . Next assume that  $D(X^1) = 0$ . Then there is  $\delta > 0$  such that  $D(X) \neq 0$  if  $0 < |X - X^1| < \delta$ . Moreover, f(X) is equal to a convergent Puiseux series if  $0 < \pm (X - X^1) < \delta$ , respectively, modifying  $\delta$  if necessary. Since f(X) is in  $C^{\infty}$ , the Puiseux series are Taylor series and, therefore, f(X) is analytic at  $X^1$ . So f(X) is analytic in  $B_r(X^0)$  and there is  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $1 \leq j \leq s$  such that  $F_j(f(X),X) = 0$  in  $B_r(X^0)$ . Next let us consider the case where  $n \geq 2$ . Similarly, there is a polynomial F(z,X) ( $\neq 0$ ) such that F(f(X),X) = 0 in  $B_r(X^0)$ . Write

$$F(z,X) = F_1(z,X)^{m_1} \cdots F_s(z,X)^{m_s},$$

where the  $F_i(z,X)$  are irreducible polynomials and mutually prime. We put

$$G(z,X) = F_1(z,X) \cdots F_s(z,X)$$

and denote by D(X) the discriminant of the equation G(z,X) = 0 in z. We have  $D(X) \neq 0$ . We may assume that  $D^0(0, \dots, 0, 1) \neq 0$ , where  $D^0(X)$  denotes the principal part of D(X), using linear transformation if necessary. If  $D(X^0) \neq 0$ , then f(X) is analytic at  $X^0$  and we can choose  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $1 \leq j \leq s$  so that  $F_j(f(X),X) = 0$  in a neighborhood of  $X^0$ . Now assume that  $D(X^0) = 0$ . Choose  $X^{1'} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$  so that  $|X^{1'} - X^{0'}| < r$ , where  $X^0 = (X_1^0, \dots, X_n^0)$  and  $X^{0'} = (X_1^0, \dots, X_{n-1}^0)$ . Since  $D(X^{1'}, X_n) \neq 0$  in  $X_n$ , applying the same argument for the case n = 1, we can see that  $f(X^{1'}, X_n)$  is analytic in  $X_n$  if  $(X^{1'}, X_n) \in B_r(X^0)$  and that there is  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $1 \leq j \leq s$  satisfying  $F_j(f(X^{1'}, X_n), X^{1'}, X_n) = 0$  if  $(X^{1'}, X_n) \in B_r(X^0)$ . On the other hand, for each connected component  $A_k$  of the set  $\{X \in \mathbb{R}^n; D(X) \neq 0\}$  there is  $j \equiv j(A_k) \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $1 \leq j \leq s$  satisfying  $F_j(f(X), X) = 0$  in  $A_k \cap B_r(X^0)$ . Therefore, there are  $\delta > 0$  and  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $1 \leq j \leq s$  and  $F_j(f(X), X) = 0$  if  $X \in B_r(X^0)$  and  $|X' - X^{0'}| < \delta$ .

**Theorem 11.** Let  $X^0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , and assume that f(X) is a continuous function defined on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  (resp. near  $X^0$ ). Moreover, we assume that there is a polynomial P(z,X) ( $\neq 0$ ) satisfying  $P(f(X),X) \equiv 0$  (resp. P(f(X),X) = 0 in a neighborhood of  $X^0$ ). Then f(X) is semi-algebraic (resp. semi-algebraic at  $X^0$ ).

**Proof.** Let us prove the theorem in the case where f(X) is defined in  $B_r(X^0)$ . We may assume that f(X) is real-valued and that  $P(z,\lambda)$  is a real polynomial. Write

$$P(z,X) = P_1(z,X)^{m_1} \cdots P_s(z,X)^{m_s},$$

where the  $P_i(z, X)$  are irreducible and mutually prime. We put

$$Q(z,X) = P_1(z,X) \cdots P_s(z,X)$$

and denote by D(X) the discriminant of the equation Q(z,X) = 0 in z. Then we have  $D(X) \neq 0$ . Put  $A := \{X \in \mathbb{R}^n; D(X) \neq 0\}$ . It follows from Theorem 5 that there are a finite number of semi-algebraic sets  $A_1, \dots, A_N$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that the  $A_j$  are the disjoint connected components of A and  $A = \bigcup_{j=1}^N A_j$ . For each  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $1 \leq j \leq N$  there are  $r(j) \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $1 \leq r(j) \leq m$ , a polynomial c(X) and  $\lambda_k(X)$  defined in  $A_j$  ( $1 \leq k \leq m$ ) such that  $c(X) \neq 0$  and

$$Q(z,X) = c(X) \prod_{k=1}^{m} (z - \lambda_k(X))$$
  
$$\lambda_1(X) < \lambda_2(X) < \dots < \lambda_{r(j)}(X), \quad \operatorname{Im} \lambda_k(X) \neq 0 \ (r(j) + 1 \le k \le m)$$

for  $X \in A_j$ , where  $m = \deg_z Q(z, X)$ . Let  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  satisfy  $1 \le j \le N$  and  $A_j \cap B_r(X^0) \ne \emptyset$ .  $\emptyset$ . Then there exists uniquely  $k(j) \in \mathbb{N}$  satisfying  $1 \le k(j) \le r(j)$  and  $\lambda_{k(j)}(X) = f(X)$  in  $A_j \cap B_r(X^0)$ . Put

$$E_j := \{ (X, y) \in A_j \times \mathbb{R}; X \in B_r(X^0) \text{ and there are } a \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } \lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_m \in \mathbb{C}$$
such that  $Q(z, X) = a \prod_{k=1}^m (z - \lambda_k), \ \lambda_1 < \cdots < \lambda_{r(j)},$ 
$$\operatorname{Im} \lambda_k \neq 0 \ (r(j) + 1 \le k \le m) \text{ and } y = \lambda_{k(j)} \}.$$

Then  $E_j$  is semi-algebraic and

$$E_j = \{(X, y) \in A_j \times \mathbb{R}; X \in B_r(X^0) \text{ and } y = f(X)\}.$$

Put

$$\widetilde{E}_j := \{ (X, y) \in \overline{A_j} \times \mathbb{R}; X \in B_r(X^0) \text{ and } y = f(X) \}.$$

Since  $\widetilde{E}_j = \overline{E_j} \cap B_r(X^0) \times \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\widetilde{E}_j$  is semi-algebraic. So  $E \equiv \bigcup_{j:A_j \cap B_r(X^0) \neq \emptyset} \widetilde{E}_j$  is semi-algebraic. Note that  $\bigcup_{j=1}^N \overline{A_j} = \mathbb{R}^n$  and that  $\overline{A_j} \cap B_r(X^0) = \emptyset$  if  $A_j \cap B_r(X^0) = \emptyset$ . Then we have

$$E = \{ (X, y) \in B_r(X^0) \times \mathbb{R}; y = f(X) \}.$$

## References

[H] L. Hörmander, The Analysis of Linear Partial Differential Operators II, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York-Tokyo, 1983.