

An alternative proof of Ivrii-Petkov's necessary condition for C^∞ well-posedness of the Cauchy problem

Seiichiro Wakabayashi

May 17, 2006

Let $P(x, \xi)$ be a polynomial of $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$ whose coefficients are C^∞ functions of $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$. We write

$$P(x, \xi) = \sum_{j=0}^m P_j(x, \xi),$$

where $m = \deg_\xi P(x, \xi)$ and $P_j(x, \xi)$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree j . Let us consider the Cauchy problem

$$(CP) \quad \begin{cases} P(x, D)u(x) = f(x) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n, \\ \text{supp } u \subset \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n; x_1 \geq 0\}, \end{cases}$$

where $D = (D_1, \dots, D_n) = -i(\partial/\partial x_1, \dots, \partial/\partial x_n)$ and $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfies $\text{supp } f \subset \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n; x_1 \geq 0\}$. We say that the Cauchy problem (CP) is C^∞ well-posed if the following two conditions are satisfied:

(E) For any $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\text{supp } f \subset \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n; x_1 \geq 0\}$ there is $u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfying (CP).

(U) If $t > 0$, $u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\text{supp } u \subset \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n; x_1 \geq 0\}$ and $\text{supp } P(x, D)u \subset \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n; x_1 \geq t\}$, then $\text{supp } u \subset \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n; x_1 \geq t\}$.

We assume that $P_m(x, \vartheta) \neq 0$, where $\vartheta = (1, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then C^∞ well-posedness implies that $P_m(x, \xi)$ is hyperbolic with respect to ϑ for each $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $x_1 \geq 0$, i.e., $P_m(x, \xi - i\vartheta) \neq 0$ for each $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $x_1 \geq 0$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ (see [Mi]). Therefore, we assume that $P_m(x, \xi)$ is hyperbolic with respect to ϑ for each $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $x_1 \geq 0$.

Ivrii and Petkov gave a necessary condition for C^∞ well-posedness in [IP], and Ivrii improved the result and gave the following theorem in [I] (see, also Mandai [Ma]).

Theorem 1. Assume that the Cauchy problem (CP) is C^∞ well-posed. Let $x^0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfy $x_1^0 \geq 0$, and assume that there are $r \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ ($:= \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$) and $q_j \in \mathbb{Q}$ ($1 \leq j \leq n$) such that $q_j > 0$ ($1 \leq j \leq n$), $1 + q_1 > q_j$ ($2 \leq j \leq n$) and

$$\begin{aligned} P_m^{(re_1)}(x^0, e_n) &\neq 0, \\ P_{m(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(x^0, e_n) &= 0 \quad \text{if } (1 + q_1)|\alpha| + \langle q, \beta - \alpha \rangle < r, \end{aligned}$$

where e_j denotes the n -tuple vector whose k -th component is equal to $\delta_{j,k}$ ($1 \leq k \leq n$), $P_{(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi) = \partial_\xi^\alpha D_x^\beta P(x, \xi)$, $q = (q_1, \dots, q_n)$ and $\langle q, \beta - \alpha \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^n q_j(\beta_j - \alpha_j)$ for $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n), \beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) \in (\mathbb{Z}_+)^n$. Then

$$P_{m-s(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(x^0, e_n) = 0 \quad \text{if } (1 + q_1)(s + |\alpha|) + \langle q, \beta - \alpha \rangle < r.$$

REMARK. We note that $P_{m-s(\beta)}^{(\alpha')}(x^0, e_n) \neq 0$ if $\alpha = (\alpha', \alpha_n) \in (\mathbb{Z}_+)^n$, and $P_{m-s(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(x^0, e_n) \neq 0$, where $P_{(\beta)}^{(\alpha')}(x, \xi) = P_{(\beta)}^{((\alpha', 0))}(x, \xi)$.

We shall prove the above theorem, repeating the same argument as in the first part of the proof in [Ma] and, then, applying the idea used in [W].

Now we assume that the hypotheses of Theorem 1 are fulfilled. From Banach's closed graph theorem or the Baire category theorem we have the following lemma (see, e.g., [IP]).

Lemma 2. Let K be a compact subset of $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n; x_1 \geq 0\}$. Then there are $\ell \equiv \ell_K \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $C \equiv C_K > 0$ such that

$$|u(x^1)| \leq C \sup_{|\beta| \leq \ell} \sup_{x_1 \leq x_1^1} |D^\beta (P(x, D)u(x))|$$

if $x^1 \in K$, $u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\text{supp } u \subset K$.

Let $\delta > 0$. We make an asymptotic change of variables

$$y = \rho^{\delta q}(x - x^0) = (\rho^{\delta q_1}(x_1 - x_1^0), \dots, \rho^{\delta q_n}(x_n - x_n^0)) \quad (\rho \gg 1).$$

Put $P_\rho(y, \eta) = P(x^0 + \rho^{-\delta q}y, \rho^{\delta q}\eta)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} P_\rho(y, \eta) &= \sum_{\substack{0 \leq s \leq m, \alpha' \in (\mathbb{Z}_+)^{n-1} \\ \beta \in (\mathbb{Z}_+)^n, \mu(s, \alpha', \beta) > -N}} \rho^{\mu(s, \alpha', \beta)} \frac{y^\beta}{\alpha'! \beta!} P_{m-s(\beta)}^{(\alpha')} (x^0, e_n) \eta^{\alpha'} \eta_n^{m-s-|\alpha'|} \\ &\quad + \rho^{-N} R_N(y, \eta; \rho) \\ &=: Q_N(y, \eta; \rho) + \rho^{-N} R_N(y, \eta; \rho), \end{aligned}$$

where $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $\eta^{\alpha'} = \eta_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots \eta_{n-1}^{\alpha_{n-1}}$ for $\alpha' = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1})$, $q' = (q_1, \dots, q_{n-1})$ and

$$\mu(s, \alpha', \beta) = \delta \langle q', \alpha' - \beta' \rangle + \delta q_n (m - s - |\alpha'| - \beta_n).$$

Write

$$R_N(y, \eta; \rho) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} R_{N, \alpha}(y; \rho) \eta^\alpha.$$

Then for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $W \in \mathbb{R}^n$ there are $C_{N, W, \beta} > 0$ ($\beta \in (\mathbb{Z}_+)^n$) such that

$$|R_{N, \alpha(\beta)}(y; \rho)| \leq C_{N, W, \beta} \quad \text{for } y \in W \text{ and } \rho \geq 1.$$

Put

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{M} = \{ & (s, \alpha', \beta); 1 \leq s \leq m, (1 + q_1)(s + |\alpha'|) + \langle q, \beta \rangle - \langle q', \alpha' \rangle < r \\ & \text{and } P_{m-s(\beta)}^{(\alpha')}(x^0, e_n) \neq 0 \} \end{aligned}$$

By assumption \mathfrak{M} is a finite set. Note that $(s, \alpha', \beta) \in \mathfrak{M}$ if $\alpha = (\alpha', \alpha_n)$, $1 \leq s \leq m$, $(1 + q_1)(s + |\alpha|) + \langle q, \beta - \alpha \rangle < r$ and $P_{m-s(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(x^0, e_n) \neq 0$. So, in order to prove Theorem 1 it suffices to show that $\mathfrak{M} = \emptyset$. Now suppose that $\mathfrak{M} \neq \emptyset$. Define

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_0 = \max \{ & \varepsilon; \varepsilon > 0 \text{ and } (1 + q_1)(\varepsilon s + |\alpha'|) + \langle q, \beta \rangle - \langle q', \alpha' \rangle = r \\ & \text{for some } (s, \alpha', \beta) \in \mathfrak{M} \} \quad (> 1), \\ \mathfrak{M}_0 = \{ & (s, \alpha', \beta) \in \mathfrak{M}; (1 + q_1)(\varepsilon_0 s + |\alpha'|) + \langle q, \beta \rangle - \langle q', \alpha' \rangle = r \}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mu}(s, \alpha', \beta) & \equiv \mu(s, \alpha', \beta) + m - s - |\alpha'| + \sigma |\alpha'| \\ & = \mu_0 + (r - |\alpha'|)(1 - \sigma - \delta(1 + q_1 - q_n)) + s \{ \delta((1 + q_1)\varepsilon_0 - q_n) - 1 \} \\ & \quad \text{for } (s, \alpha', \beta) \in \mathfrak{M}_0, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mu_0 = (\delta q_1 + \sigma)r + (1 + \delta q_n)(m - r)$. We choose $\delta > 0$ and $\sigma > 0$ so that

$$\delta(1 + q_1 - q_n) = 1 - \sigma, \quad \delta((1 + q_1)\varepsilon_0 - q_n) = 1,$$

i.e.,

$$\delta = ((1 + q_1)\varepsilon_0 - q_n)^{-1}, \quad \sigma = (1 + q_1)(\varepsilon_0 - 1)((1 + q_1)\varepsilon_0 - q_n)^{-1}.$$

Note that $0 < \sigma < 1$. By this choice we have the following:

- (i) $\tilde{\mu}(s, \alpha', \beta) = \mu_0$ for $(s, \alpha', \beta) \in \mathfrak{M}_0$.
- (ii) $\tilde{\mu}(s, \alpha', \beta) < \mu_0$ for $(s, \alpha', \beta) \in \mathfrak{M} \setminus \mathfrak{M}_0$.

- (iii) $\tilde{\mu}(s, \alpha', \beta) < \mu_0$
 if $1 \leq s \leq m$ and $(1 + q_1)(s + |\alpha'|) + \langle q, \beta \rangle - \langle q', \alpha' \rangle \geq r$.
 (iv) $\tilde{\mu}(0, \alpha', \beta) = \mu_0$ if $(1 + q_1)|\alpha'| + \langle q, \beta \rangle - \langle q', \alpha' \rangle = r$.
 (v) $\tilde{\mu}(0, \alpha', \beta) < \mu_0$ if $(1 + q_1)|\alpha'| + \langle q, \beta \rangle - \langle q', \alpha' \rangle > r$.

Put

$$\mathfrak{M}_1 = \mathfrak{M}_0 \cup \{(0, \alpha', \beta); (1 + q_1)|\alpha'| + \langle q, \beta \rangle - \langle q', \alpha' \rangle = r \text{ and } P_{m-s(\beta)}^{(\alpha')}(x^0, e_n) \neq 0\}.$$

Then there is $\delta_0 > 0$ such that for $N \gg 1$ and $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$

$$(1) \quad Q_N(y, \gamma \rho e_n + \rho^\sigma \eta; \rho) = \rho^{\mu_0} \{ \gamma^{m-r} \Phi(y, \eta'; \gamma) + \rho^{-\delta_0} r_N(y, \eta; \rho, \gamma) \},$$

where

$$\Phi(y, \eta'; \gamma) = \sum_{(s, \alpha', \beta) \in \mathfrak{M}_1} \frac{\gamma^{r-|\alpha'|-s} y^\beta}{\alpha'! \beta!} P_{m-s(\beta)}^{(\alpha')}(x^0, e_n) \eta^{\alpha'}.$$

Here $r_N(y, \eta; \rho, \gamma)$ is a polynomial of (y, η, γ) and its coefficients are bounded for $\rho \geq 1$.

Lemma 3. (i) If $(s, \alpha', \beta) \in \mathfrak{M}_1$, then $(s, \alpha', \beta) = (0, re'_1, 0)$ or $\alpha_1 + s < r$, where $e'_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0) \in (\mathbb{Z}_+)^{n-1}$. (ii) There are $\hat{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\hat{\eta}' \in \mathbb{C}^n \times (\mathbb{R}^{n-2} \setminus \{0\})$ and $\hat{\gamma} \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\hat{y}_1 > 0$ if $x_1^0 = 0$, $\text{Im } \hat{\eta}'_1 < 0$ and $\Phi(\hat{y}, \hat{\eta}'; \hat{\gamma}) = 0$.

Proof. (i) Let $(0, \alpha', \beta) \in \mathfrak{M}_1$. Then we have

$$\alpha_1 + \langle q, \beta \rangle + \sum_{j=2}^{n-1} (1 + q_1 - q_j) \alpha_j = r$$

Since $1 + q_1 > q_j$ ($2 \leq j \leq n-1$), we have $\alpha_1 < r$ if $\sum_{j=2}^{n-1} \alpha_j + |\beta| \neq 0$. By assumption we have $(0, re'_1, 0) \in \mathfrak{M}_1$. Moreover, if $(s, \alpha', \beta) \in \mathfrak{M}_0$, we have

$$\alpha_1 + s \leq (1 + q_1)(s + |\alpha'|) + \langle q, \beta \rangle - \langle q', \alpha' \rangle < r.$$

(ii) Put

$$\theta = \max\{(\varepsilon_0 - 1)(1 + q_1)s / (r - \alpha_1); (s, \alpha', \beta) \in \mathfrak{M}_0\} (> 0),$$

$$\mathfrak{M}' = \{(s, \alpha', \beta) \in \mathfrak{M}_1; \theta(r - \alpha_1) = (\varepsilon_0 - 1)(1 + q_1)s\}.$$

Note that

$$(2) \quad (0, \alpha', \beta) \in \mathfrak{M}' \text{ if and only if } \alpha' = re'_1 \text{ and } \beta = 0.$$

For $\omega \gg 1$ we have

$$\Phi(\omega^{-q}y, \omega^{\tilde{q}}\eta'; \omega^{1+q_1}\gamma)$$

$$= \sum_{(s, \alpha', \beta) \in \mathfrak{M}_1} \frac{\omega^{v(s, \alpha', \beta)}}{\alpha'! \beta!} \gamma^{r-|\alpha'|-s} y^\beta P_{m-s(\beta)}^{(\alpha')}(x^0, e_n) \eta^{\alpha'},$$

where $\tilde{q} = (q_1 + \theta, q_2, \dots, q_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ and $v(s, \alpha', \beta) = (1 + q_1)(r - |\alpha'| - s) - \langle q, \beta \rangle + \langle q', \alpha' \rangle + \theta \alpha_1$. Since

$$v(s, \alpha', \beta) = - \{ (1 + q_1)(\varepsilon_0 s + |\alpha'|) + \langle q, \beta \rangle - \langle q', \alpha' \rangle \} \\ + (\varepsilon_0 - 1)(1 + q_1)s + (1 + q_1)r + \theta \alpha_1,$$

we have

$$v(s, \alpha', \beta) \begin{cases} = (q_1 + \theta)r & \text{if } (s, \alpha', \beta) \in \mathfrak{M}', \\ < (q_1 + \theta)r & \text{if } (s, \alpha', \beta) \in \mathfrak{M}_1 \setminus \mathfrak{M}'. \end{cases}$$

Putting

$$\Phi_1(y, \eta'; \gamma) = \sum_{(s, \alpha', \beta) \in \mathfrak{M}'} \frac{\gamma^{r-|\alpha'|-s} y^\beta}{\alpha'! \beta!} P_{m-s(\beta)}^{(\alpha')}(x^0, e_n) \eta^{\alpha'},$$

we have

$$\Phi(\omega^{-q} y, \omega^{\tilde{q}} \eta'; \omega^{1+q_1} \gamma) = \omega^{(q_1 + \theta)r} (\Phi_1(y, \eta'; \gamma) + o(1)) \quad \text{as } \omega \rightarrow \infty,$$

$$\Phi_1(y, \eta_1, \gamma \eta'''; \gamma) = \sum_{(s, \alpha', \beta) \in \mathfrak{M}'} \frac{\gamma^{r-\alpha_1-s} y^\beta}{\alpha'! \beta!} P_{m-s(\beta)}^{(\alpha')}(x^0, e_n) \eta^{\alpha'''} \eta_1^{\alpha_1},$$

where $\eta''' = (\eta_2, \dots, \eta_{n-1})$, $\alpha' = (\alpha_1, \alpha''') \in (\mathbb{Z}_+)^{n-1}$ and $\eta^{\alpha'''} = \eta^{(0, \alpha''', 0)}$. It follows from the assertion (i) and (2) that

$$\Phi_1(y, \eta_1, \gamma \eta'''; \gamma) = P_m^{(re_1)}(x^0, e_n) \eta_1^r / r! + \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \sum_{s=1}^m \gamma^{r-j-s} A_{j,s}(y, \eta''') \eta_1^j,$$

$$r-2 \geq r-s-1 \geq j \quad \text{if } A_{j,s}(y, \eta''') \neq 0.$$

Choose $\tilde{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\tilde{\eta}''' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-2} \setminus \{0\}$ and $j^*, s^* \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ so that $\tilde{y}_1 > 0$, $0 \leq j^* \leq r-2$, $1 \leq s^* \leq m$ and $A_{j^*, s^*}(\tilde{y}, \tilde{\eta}''') \neq 0$. For example, if $\gamma \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ and $|\gamma|$ is sufficiently small, then the equation $\Phi_1(\tilde{y}, \eta_1, \gamma \tilde{\eta}'''; \gamma) = 0$ in η_1 has a root with negative imaginary part for $\gamma > 0$ or $\gamma < 0$. Indeed, putting $\kappa = \min\{(r-j-s)/(r-j); A_{j,s}(\tilde{y}, \tilde{\eta}''') \neq 0\}$, we have $0 < \kappa < 1$. Moreover, the roots of $\Phi_1(\tilde{y}, \eta_1, \gamma \tilde{\eta}'''; \gamma) = 0$ in η_1 can be expanded in Puiseux series with respect to γ and $\Phi_1(\tilde{y}, \eta_1, \gamma \tilde{\eta}'''; \gamma) = 0$ in η_1 has a root $\eta_1 = a \gamma^\kappa (1 + o(1))$ as $\gamma \rightarrow 0$ with $a \neq 0$. In particular, there are $\tilde{\gamma} \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ and $\tilde{\eta}_1 \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\text{Im } \tilde{\eta}_1 < 0$ and $\Phi_1(\tilde{y}, \tilde{\eta}_1, \tilde{\gamma} \tilde{\eta}'''; \tilde{\gamma}) = 0$. This proves the assertion (ii) with $\omega \gg 1$, $\hat{y} = \omega^{-q} \tilde{y}$, $\hat{\eta}' = \omega^{\tilde{q}}(\tilde{\eta}_1, \tilde{\gamma} \tilde{\eta}''')$ and $\hat{\gamma} = \omega^{1+q_1} \tilde{\gamma}$. \square

From Lemma 3 there are $\eta^{0'''} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-2} \setminus \{0\}$, an open neighborhood U of $\eta^{0'''}$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$ and real analytic functions $\tau(\eta''')$ and $\tilde{\Phi}(\eta_1, \eta''')$ defined for $\eta''' \in U$ such

that $1 \leq p \leq r$, $\text{Im } \tau(\eta''') < 0$, $\tilde{\Phi}(\eta')$ is a polynomial of η_1 , $\tilde{\Phi}(\tau(\eta'''), \eta''') \neq 0$ and

$$\Phi(\hat{y}, \eta_1, \eta'''; \hat{\gamma}) = (\eta_1 - \tau(\eta'''))^p \tilde{\Phi}(\eta').$$

Let $\varphi(x)$ be a solution of

$$\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial y_1} = \tau(\nabla_{y'''} \varphi(y)), \quad \varphi(\hat{y}_1, y'') = (y''' - \hat{y}''') \cdot \eta^{0'''} + i|y'' - \hat{y}''|^2,$$

in an open neighborhood V of \hat{y} , where $y = (y', y_n) = (y_1, y''', y_n) = (y_1, y'')$. We may assume that $x_1^0 + \rho^{-\delta q_1} y_1 > 0$ if $y \in V$ and $\rho \geq 1$. Up to this point the proof is the same as in [Ma]. It follows from §3 of Chapter VI of [T] that

$$\begin{aligned} & \Phi(\hat{y}, \rho^{-\sigma} D'; \hat{\gamma})(\exp[i\rho^\sigma \varphi(y)]u(y)) \\ &= \exp[i\rho^\sigma \varphi(y)] \sum_{|\alpha'| \geq p} \Phi^{(\alpha')}(\hat{y}, \nabla_{y'} \varphi(y); \hat{\gamma}) \mathfrak{N}_{\alpha'}(y, D'; \rho) u(y), \\ & \mathfrak{N}_{\alpha'}(y, D'; \rho) u(y) = \rho^{-\sigma|\alpha'|} [D_{w'}^{\alpha'}(\exp[i\rho^\sigma \Psi(y, w')]u(w', y_n))]_{w'=y'} / \alpha'!, \end{aligned}$$

where $D' = (D_1, \dots, D_{n-1})$, $D_{w'}^{\alpha'} = D_{w_1}^{\alpha_1} \dots D_{w_{n-1}}^{\alpha_{n-1}}$ and $\Psi(y, w') = \varphi(w', y_n) - \varphi(y) - (w' - y') \cdot (\nabla_{y'} \varphi)(y)$. It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{N}_{\alpha'}(y, \eta'; \rho) &= \rho^{-\sigma|\alpha'|} \eta^{\alpha'} / \alpha'! + \sum_{\beta' < \alpha'} \rho^{-\sigma|\beta'|} b_{\alpha', \beta'}(y; \rho) \eta^{\beta'}, \\ |b_{\alpha', \beta'}(\bar{\beta})(y; \rho)| &\leq C_{\alpha', \beta', \bar{\beta}} \rho^{-\sigma(|\alpha'| - |\beta'| - [(|\alpha'| - |\beta'|)/2])}, \\ b_{\alpha', \beta'}(y; \rho) &\equiv 0 \quad \text{if } |\alpha'| - |\beta'| = 1 \text{ and } \beta' < \alpha' \end{aligned}$$

for $y \in V$, where $[a]$ denotes the largest integer $\leq a$. Now we make an asymptotic change of variables, again:

$$z_1 = \rho^{\sigma_1} (y_1 - \hat{y}_1), \quad z'' = \rho^{\sigma/3} (y'' - \hat{y}''),$$

where $\sigma/2 < \sigma_1 < \sigma$. Put $y(z; \rho) = \hat{y} + (\rho^{-\sigma_1} z_1, \rho^{-\sigma/3} z'')$ and $\varphi(z; \rho) = \varphi(y(z; \rho))$. A simple calculation yields

$$\begin{aligned} (3) \quad & \Phi(\hat{y}, \rho^{-\sigma+\sigma_1} D_1, \rho^{-2\sigma/3} D'''; \hat{\gamma})(\exp[i\rho^\sigma \varphi(z; \rho)]v(z)) \\ &= \exp[i\rho^\sigma \varphi(z; \rho)] \sum_{|\alpha'| \geq p} \Phi^{(\alpha')}(\hat{y}, (\nabla_{y'} \varphi)(y(z; \rho); \hat{\gamma}) \\ & \quad \times \{\rho^{-\sigma|\alpha'| + \sigma_1 \alpha_1 + \sigma|\alpha'''|/3} D^{\alpha'} v(z) / \alpha'! \\ & \quad + \sum_{\beta' < \alpha'} \rho^{-\sigma|\beta'| + \sigma_1 \beta_1 + \sigma|\beta'''|/3} b_{\alpha', \beta'}(y(z; \rho); \rho) D^{\beta'} v(z)\} \\ &= \exp[i\rho^\sigma \varphi(z; \rho)] \rho^{-p(\sigma-\sigma_1)} \{\Phi^{(re'_1)}(\hat{y}, \tau(\eta^{0'''}), \eta^{0'''}; \hat{\gamma}) D_1^p v(z) / p! \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \rho^{-\delta_1} \sum_{|\alpha'| \leq p_0} c_{\alpha'}(z; \rho) D^{\alpha'} v(z)\},$$

$$|c_{\alpha'(\beta)}(z; \rho)| \leq C_{\alpha', \beta}$$

for $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $y(z; \rho) \in V$, where $p_0 = \deg_{\eta} \Phi(\hat{y}, \eta'; \hat{\gamma})$ and $\delta_1 = \min\{\sigma/3, \sigma - \sigma_1, 2\sigma_1 - \sigma, \sigma_1 - \sigma/3\}$. Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & p(\sigma - \sigma_1) - \sigma|\alpha'| + \sigma_1\beta_1 + \sigma|\beta'''|/3 + \sigma[(|\alpha'| - |\beta'|)/2] \\ & \leq -(|\alpha'| - p)(\sigma - \sigma_1) - (|\alpha'| - |\beta'|)(\sigma_1 - \sigma/2) - (\sigma_1 - \sigma/3)|\beta'''| \\ & \leq -(2\sigma_1 - \sigma) \quad \text{if } |\alpha'| \geq p, \beta' < \alpha' \text{ and } |\beta'| \leq |\alpha'| - 2, \\ & p(\sigma - \sigma_1) - \sigma|\alpha'| + \sigma_1\alpha_1 + \sigma|\alpha'''|/3 \\ & = -(|\alpha'| - p)(\sigma - \sigma_1) - (\sigma_1 - \sigma/3)|\alpha'''|. \end{aligned}$$

Put $E(z, \rho) = \exp[i\hat{\gamma}\rho^{1-\sigma/3}z_n]$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{P}_{\rho}(z, \zeta) &= P(x^0 + \rho^{-\delta q}y(z; \rho), \rho^{\delta q}(\rho^{\sigma_1}\zeta_1, \rho^{\sigma/3}\zeta'')) \\ & (= P_{\rho}(y(z; \rho), \rho^{\sigma_1}\zeta_1, \rho^{\sigma/3}\zeta'')). \end{aligned}$$

Then we can write

$$\tilde{P}_{\rho}(z, \zeta) = \tilde{Q}_N(z, \rho^{\sigma_1}\zeta_1, \rho^{\sigma/3}\zeta''; \rho) + \rho^{-N}\tilde{R}_N(z, \rho^{\sigma_1}\zeta_1, \rho^{\sigma/3}\zeta''; \rho).$$

Here $\tilde{R}(z, \zeta; \rho) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \tilde{R}_{N, \alpha}(z; \rho) \zeta^{\alpha}$ and for any $W \in \mathbb{R}^n$ there are $C_{N, W, \beta} > 0$ ($\beta \in (\mathbb{Z}_+)^n$) such that

$$|\tilde{R}_{N, \alpha(\beta)}(z; \rho)| \leq C_{N, W, \beta} \quad \text{for } z \in W \text{ and } \rho \geq 1.$$

Let W be an open neighborhood of 0 in \mathbb{R}^n , and choose $\rho(W) \geq 1$ so that $y(z; \rho) \in V$ for $z \in W$ and $\rho \geq \rho(W)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{P}_{\rho}(z, D)(E(z; \rho) \exp[i\rho^{\sigma}\varphi(z; \rho)]v(z)) \\ & = E(z; \rho) \{ \tilde{Q}_N(z, \hat{\gamma}\rho e_n + (\rho^{\sigma_1}D_1, \rho^{\sigma/3}D''); \rho) \\ & \quad + \rho^{-N}\tilde{R}_N(z, \hat{\gamma}\rho e_n + (\rho^{\sigma_1}D_1, \rho^{\sigma/3}D''); \rho) \} (\exp[i\rho^{\sigma}\varphi(z; \rho)]v(z)) \end{aligned}$$

for $z \in W$ and $\rho \geq \rho(W)$. By (1) we have

$$\tilde{Q}_N(z, \hat{\gamma}\rho e_n + \rho^{\sigma}\eta; \rho) = \hat{\gamma}^{m-r} \rho^{\mu_0} \{ \Phi(\hat{y}, \eta'; \hat{\gamma}) + \rho^{-\delta'_0} \tilde{r}_N(z, \eta; \rho) \},$$

where $\delta'_0 = \min\{\delta_0, \sigma/3\}$. Since $0 < \sigma_1 < \sigma$, this, together with (3), gives

$$\tilde{Q}_N(z, \hat{\gamma}\rho e_n + (\rho^{\sigma_1}D_1, \rho^{\sigma/3}D''); \rho) (\exp[i\rho^{\sigma}\varphi(z; \rho)]v(z))$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \exp[i\rho^\sigma \varphi(z; \rho)] \hat{\gamma}^{m-r} \rho^{-p(\sigma-\sigma_1)+\mu_0} \{a_0 D_1^p v(z)/p! \\
&\quad + \rho^{-\delta_1} \sum_{|\alpha'| \leq p_0} c_{\alpha'}(z; \rho) D^{\alpha'} v(z) + \rho^{-\delta'_0+p(\sigma-\sigma_1)} \hat{r}(z, D; \rho) v(z)\}
\end{aligned}$$

for $z \in W$ and $\rho \geq \rho(W)$, where $a_0 = \Phi^{(re'_1)}(\hat{y}, \tau(\eta^{0'''})$, $\eta^{0''''})$ ($\neq 0$). Here $\hat{r}(z, \zeta; \rho)$ is a polynomial of ζ of degree m and has the same properties for $\rho \geq \rho(W)$ as $R_N(z, \zeta; \rho)$. Now let us choose $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\sigma_1 > 0$ so that

$$\begin{aligned}
N &\geq p(\sigma - \sigma_1) - \mu_0 + m + \delta_1, \\
\sigma_1 &= \max\{2\sigma/3, \sigma - \delta'_0/(p+1)\}.
\end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 2 it follows that there are $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $C > 0$ such that

$$(4) \quad |u(0)| \leq C \sup_{|\beta| \leq \ell} \sup_{z_1 \leq 0} \rho^{(\delta q_1 + \sigma_1)\beta_1 + \delta(q'', \beta'') + \sigma|\beta''|/3} |D^\beta \tilde{P}_\rho(z, D)u(z)|$$

for $u \in C_0^\infty(W)$ and $\rho \geq \rho(W)$. Since $\delta_1 \leq \sigma - \sigma_1 \leq \delta'_0 - p(\sigma - \sigma_1)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{P}_\rho(z, D)(E(z; \rho) \exp[i\rho^\sigma \varphi(z; \rho)]v(z)) &= E(z; \rho) \exp[i\rho^\sigma \varphi(z; \rho)] \\
&\quad \times \hat{\gamma}^{m-r} \rho^{-p(\sigma-\sigma_1)+\mu_0} \{a_0 D_1^p v(z)/p! - \rho^{-\delta_1} H(z, D; \rho) v(z)\}
\end{aligned}$$

for $z \in W$ and $\rho \geq \rho(W)$, where $H(z, \zeta; \rho)$ is a polynomial of ζ of degree m and has the same properties as $\hat{r}(z, \zeta; \rho)$. We define $\{u_j(z; \rho)\}_{j=0,1,2,\dots}$ by

$$\begin{cases} u_0(z; \rho) = 1, \\ D_1^p u_j(z; \rho) = a_0^{-1} H(z, D; \rho) u_{j-1}(z; \rho), \\ D_1^k u_j(0, z''; \rho) = 0 \quad (0 \leq k \leq p-1), \\ (j \geq 1), \end{cases}$$

for $z \in W$ and $\rho \geq \rho(W)$. Note that

$$|D^\beta u_j(z; \rho)| \leq C_{j,\beta} \quad \text{for } z \in W, \rho \geq \rho(W) \text{ and } \beta \in (\mathbb{Z}_+)^n.$$

It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi(z; \rho) &= \rho^{-\sigma/3} z''' \cdot \eta^{0''''} + i\rho^{-2\sigma/3} |z''|^2 + \rho^{-\sigma_1} \tau(\eta^{0''''}) z_1 + O(\rho^{-\sigma_1-\sigma/3}) \\
&\quad \text{as } \rho \rightarrow \infty,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Im } \rho^\sigma \varphi(z; \rho) \geq (\rho^{\sigma-\sigma_1} \text{Im } \tau(\eta^{0''''}) z_1 + \rho^{\sigma/3} |z''|^2)/2$$

for $z \in W$ with $z_1 \leq 0$ and $\rho \geq \rho(W)$, modifying $\rho(W)$ if necessary. Let $\chi(z)$ be a function in $C_0^\infty(W)$ such that $\chi(z) = 1$ near 0, and put

$$u_M(z; \rho) = \sum_{j=0}^{[M-1]} \rho^{-\delta_1 j} u_j(z; \rho) \chi(z)$$

for $\rho \geq \rho(W)$. Then, by standard arguments we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{z_1 \leq 0, |\beta| \leq \ell} \rho^{(\delta q_1 + \sigma_1)\beta_1 + \delta \langle q'', \beta'' \rangle + \sigma |\beta''|/3} \\ & \quad \times |D^\beta \{ \tilde{P}_\rho(z, D)(E(z; \rho) \exp[i\rho^\sigma \varphi(z; \rho)] u_M(z; \rho)) \}| \\ & \leq C_M \rho^{v(\ell) - M\delta_1} \leq C_M \rho^{-1} \quad \text{if } M\delta_1 \geq 1 + v(\ell) \text{ and } \rho \geq \rho(W), \end{aligned}$$

where $v(\ell) = -p(\sigma - \sigma_1) + \delta(1 + q_1)(r + \ell) + \sigma r + \sigma_1 \ell + (1 + \delta q_n)(m - r)$. On the other hand, $u_M(0; \rho) = 1$, which contradicts (4). This proves Theorem 1.

References

- [I] V. Ya. Ivrii, Cauchy problem conditions for hyperbolic operators with characteristics of variable multiplicity for Gevrey classes, *Sib. Math. J.* **17** (1977), 921–931.
- [IP] V. Ya. Ivrii and V. M. Petkov, Necessary conditions for the Cauchy problem for non-strictly hyperbolic equations to be well-posed, *Russian Math. Surveys* **29** (1974), 1–70.
- [Ma] T. Mandai, General Levi conditions for weakly hyperbolic equations -An attempt to treat the degeneracy with respect to the space variables-, *Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ.* **22** (1986), 1–23.
- [Mi] S. Mizohata, Some remarks on the Cauchy problem, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* **1** (1961), 109–127.
- [T] F. Trèves, *Introduction to Pseudodifferential and Fourier Integral Operators II*, Plenum Press, New York-London, 1980.
- [W] S. Wakabayashi, Remarks on hyperbolic systems of first order with constant coefficient characteristic polynomials, preprint.